PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

REC'D	04	OCT	2005
WIRO			POT

WIPO

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

		T			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	3	
	cant's or agent's file ret 7615/WO	erence	FOR FURTHER ACT		See Form PCT/PEA/416		
International application No. PCT/EP2004/006674		International filing date (da 21.06.2004	y/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 23.07.2003	,		
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IP							
	national Patent Classiii J31/40, B65D85/80		INOUAL CIASSINCATION AND IF O			1	
M47	J3 1/40, B0JD0J/0	5 4				1	
	_						
	Icant STEC S.A et al.						
1.	This report is the in	nternational pre	liminary examination reponsmitted to the applicant a	ort, established by thi according to Article 3	is International Preliminary E 6.	xamining	
2.			of 5 sheets, including this				
3.			y ANNEXES, comprising				
	a. Sent to the applicant and to the International Bureau) a total of sheets, as follows:						
	sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).						
	sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental Box.						
	Complete the International Russey only) a total of (indicate type and number of electronic carrier(s)), containing a						
	sequence listing and/or tables related thereto, in computer readable form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).						
	200000		• ,				
4.	This report contain	ins indications r	elating to the following ite	ms:			
	⊠ Box No. I	Basis of the opinion					
	☐ Box No. II	Priority					
	Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability				bility		
	☐ Box No. IV	☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention					
	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement						
	☑ Box No. VI Certain documents cited						
	☑ Box No. VII Certain defects in the International application						
	☐ Box No. VIII	☐ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application					
<u> </u>					Allo report		
Da	ate of submission of the	e demand		Date of completion of	This report		
25.01.2005		05.10.2005					
N	ame and malling addre	ss of the internati	onal	Authorized Officer		and Patents.	
pr	eliminary examining at	uthority:		[111	
European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2. NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas			Bridault, A				
Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016		Telephone No. +31 7	70 340-	3			
1 1				i .			

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/006674

illed, unless This re which i	to the language, this report is based on the international application in the language in which it was otherwise indicated under this item. port is based on translations from the original language into the following language, so the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of: mational search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)) lication of the international application (under Rule 12.4)
illed, unless This re which i	otherwise indicated under this item. port is based on translations from the original language into the following language, s the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of: mational search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)) licetion of the international application (under Rule 12.4)
which i	s the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of: mational search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)) licetion of the international application (under Rule 12.4)
	rnational preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)
have been	I to the elements* of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this priginally filed" and are not annexed to this report):
Description	, Pages
1-21	as originally filed
Clalms, Nu	
1-15	as originally filed
Drawings,	Sheets
1/8-8/8	as originally filed
□ a seq	uence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing
☐ th ☐ th ☐ th	mendments have resulted in the cancellation of: e description, pages e claims, Nos. e drawings, sheets/figs e sequence listing (specify): by table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):
had not b Suppleme th th th th th th th th th	report has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below een made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the ental Box (Rule 70.2(c)). e description, pages e claims, Nos. de drawings, sheets/figs de sequence listing (specify): my table(s) related to sequence listing (specify): tem 4 applies, some or all of these sheets may be marked "superseded."
	Description 1-21 Claims, Num 1-15 Drawings, 1/8-8/8 □ a sequence of the article of the o

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/006674

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

6, 7, 9, 13, 14

No: Claims

1-5, 8, 10-12, 15

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

6, 7, 9

No: Claims

1-5, 8, 10-15

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-15

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

Box No. VI Certain documents cited

1. Certain published documents (Rule 70.10)

and/or

2. Non-written disclosures (Rule 70.9)

see separate sheet

Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application

The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted:

see separate sheet

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Reference is made to the following document:

D1: US 2002/129712 A1

2. The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 1 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

A system according to claim 1 is implicitly disclosed by D1.

This document discloses a system according to the preamble of claim 1. Further, said system can use capsules intended for forming different beverages. In paragraph 70, D1 states that the geometry of the extraction chamber of the capsule can be chosen so as to aid in the formation of foam. This implies that, depending on the extraction chamber of the capsule, a beverage with or without foam can be obtained. Furthermore, also in the same paragraph, D1 states that the characteristics of the foam can be chosen. The skilled reader will understand therefrom that several different capsules can be provided, with several foaming characteristics.

In paragraph 70, the reader is taught that the foam can be obtained thanks to mechanical impingement of the beverage extract; in paragraph 74, that it can be obtained through a given orifice size of the extraction exit site. In both cases, as a consequence of these arrangements, the extraction pressure is impacted.

For these reasons, the system of D1 has also the technical features of the characterising part of claim 1.

- 3. The capsules disclosed in D1 have furthermore all the additional features noted in dependent claims 2 to 5, 8, and 10 to 12. The subject-matter of said claims is therefore not new either.
- 4. For the reasons exposed in paragraph 2 above, the method of claim 15 is not new.

- 5. The additional features of claims 13 and 14 do not involve an inventive step, since they appear to result from a mere choice by the skilled person.
- 6. The combination of the features of dependent claim 6 is neither known from, nor rendered obvious by, the available prior art, because said prior art does not suggest to monitor the extraction pressure by adapting the resistance of the capsule, in any of the ways listed in claim 6.
- 7. Claim 7 depends on claim 6, and as such meets also the requirements of the PCT with respect to novelty and inventive step.
- 8. The combination of the features of dependent claim 9 is neither known from, nor rendered obvious by, the available prior art, because said prior art does not suggest to monitor the extraction pressure by adapting the piercing protrusions of the capsules.

Re Item VII

Certain defects in the international application

Contrary to the requirements of Rule 5.1(a)(ii) PCT, the relevant background art disclosed in the document D1 is not mentioned in the description, nor is this document identified therein.